This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

09/890372

PTO 1390 Page 1 of 1

US Dept. of Commerce Pat. & Trademark Office

Attorney's Docket No. 21927

TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 USC 371

US. Application No. (if known) 09/890372

INTERNATIONAL APP. NO. **PCT/EP00/00444**

INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE
21 January 2000

PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED
28 January 1999

TITLE OF INVENTION

DEVICE FOR SHRINKING A SHRINK-WRAP FILM

APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US Reiner HANNEN et al

Δnn	licant	herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EU/US) the following.				
лрр 1.		This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a filing under 35 USC 371.				
2.						
3.		This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 USC 371(f)) at any time rather				
		than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 USC 317(b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39(1).				
4.		A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the				
		earliest claimed priority date.				
5.		A copy of the International Application as filed (35 USC 371(c)(2)).				
		a. \square is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau.				
		b. has been transmitted by the International Bureau.				
		c. \square is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Patent Office.				
6.		A translation of the International application into English.				
7.		Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 USC 371(c)(3)).				
		a. \[\sigma\] are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau.				
		b. \square have been transmitted by the International Bureau.				
		c. \square have not been made; however the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.				
		d. have not been made and will not be made.				
8.		A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 USC 371(c)(3).				
9.		An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 USC 371(c)(4).				
10.		A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36				
		(35 USC 371(c)(5)).				
Iten	ıs 11.	to 16. below concern documents or information included:				
11.		An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.				
12.		An Assignment for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is				
		included.				
13.		A FIRST preliminary amendment.				
		A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment.				
14.		A substitute specification.				
15.		A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.				
16		Other items of information				

09/19/2001 UEDUVIJE 00000085 09890372

01 FC:254

65.00 OP

US Application no (if known) 09/890372		International Application no. PCT/EP00/00444		Attomey's Docket No. 21927		
	es are submitted: 7 CFR 1.492(a)(1)-(5): been prepared by the El	CALCULATION	S PTO USE ONLY			
Int'l prel. exam. f	ee paid to USPTO (37 C					
	n. fee paid to USPTO (3 fee paid to USPTO (37 (
	exam fee (37 CFR 1.482 (37 CFR 1.455(a)(2)) pai					
Intl. prel. exam. for and all claims sa	ee paid to USPTO (37 Catisfied provisions of PC					
	R APPROPRIATE BAS					
	for furnishing oath or d est claimed priority date	1 20 ■ 30	\$65			
CLAIMS	NO. FILED	NO. EXTRA	RATE			
Total claims						
Ind. claims						
MULTIPLE DEP. CL	AIM(S) (if applicable) (
		\$130				
	ling by small entity, if a CFR 1.2, 1.27, 1.28)	\$65				
-		\$65				
	0.00 for furnishing the E est claimed priority date					
		\$65				
Fee for recording the accompanied by an ap	enclosed assignment (37 ppropriate PTO-1595 co	\$40				
		\$105				
		Amt to be refunded				
				Amt to be charged		
 a. □ A check in the amount of to cover the above fees is enclosed b. □ Please charge my deposit account 18-2025 \$00.00 to cover the above fees. A copy of this sheet is enclosed. c. ■ Please charge the amount due to the credit card identified in the attached PTO-2038. 						

- d. The commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required or credit any overpayment to deposit account 18-2025. A copy of this sheet is enclosed
- e. A PTO-2038 in the amount of \$40 to cover recordal of the Assignment is enclosed

NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.

Send all correspondence to:

The Firm of Karl F. Ross P.C. 5676 Riverdale Ave. Box 900 Riverdale (Bronx), NY 10471

Herbert Dubno, Reg. No. 19,752

21927

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FIRST CLASS MAILING being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, or as Express Mail if the number of the Express Mail mailing label is provided below in ar envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trader

CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS UN.

Expres

Inventor

Reiner HANNEN et al

Patent App.

09/890,372 (US Nat'l phase of

Filed

26 July 2001

For

DEVICE FOR SHRINKING A SHRINK-WRAP FILM

Hon. Commissioner of Patents Washington, DC 20231

RECORD OF TRANSMITTAL -- PCT APPLICATION

- PCT Transmittal
- PCT Application
- Translation
- Sheets of Drawing (0)
- PCT Declaration
- PCT Documents \Box
- International Search Report
- Preliminary Amendment
- Assignment (with PTO-1595 and sep. PTO-2038)
- PTO-2038 for Official Fees

Late filing

\$65.00

Total

\$65.00

Please charge any fees not covered by an enclosed PTO-2038 to account 18-2025 of the undersigned.

12 September 2001

5676 Riverdale Ave. Box 900

Bronx, NY 10471-0900

Tel: (718) 884-6600

Fax: (718) 601-1099

Customer No. 535

rg

Respectfully submitted,

The Firm of Karl F). Ross P.C.

Reg. 19,752 Dubno

U.S. APPLICATION NO.	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY, DOCKET NO.							
	HANNEN R	21927							
09/890372		INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.							
HERBT DUBNO	/ KARL F. ROSS	PCT/EP00/00444							
THE FIRM OF KARL F ROSS	DEWEIN I	PARTY PATE							
5676 RIVERDALE AVE		I.A. FILING DATE PRIORITY DATE							
PO BOX 900 RIVERDALE, NY 10471	AUG 2 9 2001	21 JAN 00 28 JAN 99							
RIVERDALE, IVI 10471		DATE MAILED: 27 AUG 2001							
NOTIFICATION OF MISSING REQUIREMENTS UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371 IN THE UNITED									
STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DU/EU/US)									
1. The following items have been submitted by the applicant or the IB to the United States Patent and Trademark									
Office as a Designated Office (37 CFR 1.494) an Elected Office (37 CFR 1.494).									
U.S. Basic National Fe		ational application into English.							
Copy of the internation Oath or Declaration of		amendments into English.							
Copy of Article 19 ame									
Priority Document		-							
The International Profit	minary Examination Report in English and its A	Annexes, if any.							
Translation of Annexes	to the International Preliminary Examination F	Report into English.							
2 — A linear has requested early	processing under 35 U.S.C. 371(f) but has not	filed the following indicated items and/or							
the indicated items in paragraph 3 h	below. The Basic National Fee and the copy of	the international application must be filed							
prior to 20 or 30 months from the p	riority date to avoid abandonment.								
U.S. Basic National Fo	ce. Copy of the international								
26 II C C 371:	furnished within the period set forth below in or								
a. Translation of the a	pplication into English. A processing fee will b	e required if submitted							
later than the app	consiste 20 or 30 months from the priority date	i.,							
<u></u> ,	lation is defective for the reasons indicated on t	the attached Notice of Beleetive							
Translation.	providing the translation of the application and/o	or the Annexes later than the							
anneanciate 20 as	- 30 months from the priority date (37 CFR 1.4)	92(1)).							
C. Oath or declaration	of the inventors, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	.497(a) and (b), properly identifying							
the application (p	preferably by the International application numb required if submitted later than the appropriate	er and international filing date). A							
date									
The current oath	or declaration does not comply with 37 CFR 1.	.497(a) and (b) for the reasons							
indicated on the	attached PCT/DO/EO/917. ding the oath or declaration later than the appro	poriate 20 or 30 months from the							
priority date (37	CER 1 492(e))	sprinte 20 or 50 months from the							
4 Additional claim fees of \$	as a large entity small entity, i	including any required multiple dependent							
claim fee, are required. Applicant	must submit the additional claim fees or cancel	the additional claims for which fees are							
due (37 CFR 1.492(g)). See attach	ned PTO-875.								
	the required sequence listing pursuant to 37 CF	R 1.821-1.825. See attached							
PCT/DO/EO/920.		•							
ALL OF THE ITEMS SET FORTH IN 3(a)-3(d), 4 AND 5 ABOVE MUST BE SUBMITTED WITHIN TWO (2) MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR BY 22 OR 32 MONTHS (where 37 CFR 1.495 applies) FROM THE PRIORITY DATE FOR THE APPLICATION, WHICHEVER IS LATER. FAILURE TO PROPERLY RESPOND WILL RESULT IN ABANDONMENT.									
The time period set above may be extended by filing a petition and fee for extension of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).									
6. If box 3a or 3c is checked, a translation of the Annexes MUST be submitted no later than the time period set above or the Annexes will be cancelled. A processing fee will be required if submitted later than 20 or 30 months from the priority date. 7. The Article 19 amendments are cancelled since a translation was not provided by the appropriate 20 (37 CFR 1.494(d)) or 30 (37 CFR 1.495(d)) months from the priority date.									
Applicant is reminded that any communication to the United States Patent and Trademark Office must be mailed to the address given in the heading and include the U.S. application no. shown above. (37 CFR 1.5)									
A copy of this notice MUST be returned with this response.									
Enclosed: PCT/DO/EO/917	Notice of Defective Translation	-							
☐ PTO-875	= DCT/DO/EO/MA	ton M. Alvarado							
FORM DOTING FORM (14 - 1-1-1	VIIIS	703-305-6421							
FORM PCT/DO/EO/905 (March 2	telephone:	/03-305-6421							

Certificate of Express or First-Class Mailing

I hereby certify that I have deposited this correspondence with the US Postal pervisor at 158 SS or; if a mail of the Postal pervisor at 158 SS or; if a mail of the Postal pervisor Washington, DC 20231 on the below-given date:

SEP 13 2001

EL 842185585

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventors

Yoh-ichi MATSUMOTO et al

Patent Appl.

PCT/US99/11179

Filed

19 May 1999 (International filing date)

For

HUMANIZED ANTIBODIES THAT RECOGNIZE VEROTOXIN II AND CELL LINE PRODUCING SAME

THIRD PARTY PROTEST BY THE PUBLIC PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.291 AGAINST A PENDING PATENT APPLICATION

Now comes Protester TRUSTEES OF TUFTS COLLEGE of
Medford, Massachusetts and files this third party protest
pursuant to 37 CFR 1.291 against PCT/US99/11179 and any
equivalent U.S. National Phase Application pending before the
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. It is noted from WO 99/59629
that Applicant claimed the benefit of the priority of provisional
patent application 60/086,570 filed 20 May 1998 and designated
the United States as one of the countries in which the national
phase of PCT/US99/11179 might be entered. Thus Protester must
take into consideration the possibility that a U.S. National
Phase Application derived from PCT/US99/11179 is currently
pending before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Concise Explanation of the Relevance of WO 98/20903

Protester believes that no patent should issue in the United States that is the equivalent of U.S. National Phase of PCT/US99/11179 in view of the Protestor's own WO 98/20903 published 22 May 1998, a copy of which is enclosed herewith. Protester notes that WO 98/20903 was derived from PCT/US97/20722 having an International filing date of 14 November 1997 and claiming the benefit of the priority of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/749,704 filed 15 November 1996. WO 98/20903 is believed to be an effective reference as of 15 November 1996, the priority date of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/749,704 pursuant to 35 USC 102(e)(1). See Uncertainty Concerning When Application Publications and Patents are Effective as References, Harold R. Brown III, Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society, Vol. 83, No. 2, pp 77 to 107 (February 2001).

Protester further believes that WO 98/20903 is evidence that subject matter disclosed and claimed in Applicant's WO 99/59629 and presumably in any equivalent pending U.S. Patent Application pending before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office was known or used by others in this country before the invention thereof by Applicants within the meaning of 35 USC 102(a) and

D-850 that with respect to that subject matter Applicants should not be entitled to obtain a U.S. Patent whose claims cover said subject matter. Protester's WO 98/20903 is directed to human monoclonal antibodies against hemolytic uremic syndrome and to a method of treating hemolytic uremic syndrome by administering the human monoclonal antibodies to an individual in need of treatment for this disease or in need of protection from this disease. Preferably the human monoclonal antibodies are obtained by producing one or more human monoclonal antibodies which bind specifically to Shiga toxin or Shiga-like toxin, said human monoclonal antibodies which bind specifically to Shiga toxin or Shiga-like toxin obtained by the following steps: (1) administering Shiga-like toxoid I or Shiga-like toxoid II as an antigen to a transgenic mouse having human genes and inducing an immune response in the transgenic mouse; (2) isolating splenocytes from the transgenic mouse following an immune response by the transgenic mouse and fusing the splenocytes to mouse myeloma cells to obtain mouse hybridomas producing human monoclonal antibodies; and - 3 -

(3) screening the human monoclonal antibodies to obtain the human monoclonal antibodies which bind specifically to Shiga toxin or Shiga-like toxin; and

The human monoclonal antibodies which bind specifically to Shiga toxin or Shiga-like toxin are then administered to the individual in a therapeutically effective amount.

A particularly preferred feature according to Protester's pending application which is the U.S. National Phase of PCT/US97/20722 is the use of a transgenic mouse to generate the human monoclonal antibodies which specificity against the Shiga-like toxins I and II. According to Applicants' WO 99/59629 on page 26, lines 15 to the bottom to page 27, line 5, obtaining human monoclonal antibodies against Verotoxin (Shiga) from transgenic animals, especially transgenic mice, is one feature of the invention. Protester strongly believes that no patent should issue in the U.S. National Phase of PCT/US99/11179 with claims directed to human monoclonal antibodies obtained from transgenic mice or to a method of treating toxic uremic syndrome by administering the human monoclonal antibodies to a patient with toxic uremic syndrome.

- 4 -

D-850

Protester notes the International Search Report attached to WO 99/59629 performed by Examiner Rodney Swartz of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on 8 July 1999. The search was an International Search and Examiner Swartz represented the International Searching Authority. Examiner Swartz found Protester's WO 98/20903 and stated that the document was published prior to the Applicants' international filing date of WO 99/59629, namely 19 May 1999 but after the Applicants' U.S priority date of 20 May 1998 and did not apply the reference against the claims. Protester strongly believes, however, that under 35 USC 102(e)(1) the claims of any related U.S. application belonging to Applicants that is equivalent to WO 99/59629 and that overlap with the claims of WO 99/59629 should be rejected in view of Protester's WO 98/20903.

Protester is including copies of WO 98/20903, WO
99/59629 and <u>Uncertainty Concerning When Application Publications</u>
and Patents are <u>Effective as References</u>, Harold R. Brown III,

<u>Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society</u>, Vol. 83, No.
2, pp 77 to 107 (February 2001). While Protester regards the
entire WO 98/20903 to be relevant to the examination of any U.S.
application belonging to Applicants that is equivalent to WO

99/59629, it is especially believed that page 3, lines 3 through 16, and Example 2 on pages 16 through 21 of WO 98/20903 are relevant. Example 2 describes the human monoclonal antibodies against Shiga-like toxins and how these human monoclonal antibodies were obtained by administering the proper immunogen to a mouse containing human genes (transgenic mouse). Page 26, lines 15 to the bottom and page 27, lines 1 through 5 of WO 99/59629 disclose an invention highly related to the disclosure in WO 98/20903.

Alternatively any related U.S. application belonging to Applicants that is equivalent to WO 99/59629 and that includes claims that overlap with the claims of WO 99/59629 should be rejected under 35 USC 102(a) in view of Protester's WO 98/20903. WO 98/20903 provides evidence that the invention claimed in WO 99/59629 was known or used by others in this country before the invention thereof by Applicants as required by that section of the Statute.

Protester is especially concerned with claim 22 of WO 99/59629 that is broad enough to cover a method of treating a patient with hemolytic uremic syndrome by administering human monoclonal antibodies to the patient human antibodies that bind

to Shiga-like toxins, including Shiga-like toxin I and Shiga-like Protester believes that the first to invent such human toxin II. monoclonal antibodies to Shiga-like toxins I and II are Saul Tzipori, Ramaswamy Balakrishnan, and Arthur Donohue-Rolfe, the inventors named in WO 98/20903. Protester is concerned that the Examiner may allow Applicants in a pending U.S. application equivalent to WO 99/59629, a claim of the scope of or overlapping with claim 22 of their WO 99/59629 covering administration of a human antibody, especially a human monoclonal antibody obtained from a transgenic mouse, to a patient suffering from or at risk of a verotoxin infection. Protester believes that under 35 USC 102(q) an issue of priority of invention will have developed between such a patent application and Protester's U.S. Patent Application Ser. No.09/302,125 filed 24 April 1999 which is a division of U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. 08/749,704 filed 15 November 1996, the priority of which was claimed in PCT/US 97/20722. In such a situation an interference between Protester's U.S. Patent Application Ser. No.09/302,125 and any such patent application belonging to Applicants should be declared.

D-850 There is antecedent basis in WO 98/20903 for every element of claim 22 of WO 99/59629. Page 2, lines 29 to 35 of WO 98/20903 discloses a therapeutic method to treat hemolytic uremic syndrome by administering to an individual a therapeutically effective amount of monoclonal antibody which binds specifically to either Shiga toxin, Shiga like toxin I or Shiga like toxin II. Page 3, lines 12 and 18 mention that polyclonal antibodies which bind specifically to either Shiga toxin, Shiga like toxin I or Shiga like toxin II may be employed. Page 2, lines 6 and 7 states that Shiga like toxins are also referred to as verotoxins. Page 7, line 35 to page 8, line 16 provides antecedent basis for human monoclonal antibodies and human nonspecific polyclonal antibodies as the antibodies that bind specifically to either Shiga toxin, Shiga like toxin I or Shiga like toxin II. Example 2 on pages 16 through 21 discloses the preparation of the human monoclonal antibodies using transgenic mice and the use of such human monoclonal antibodies to immunize mice. Protester is including Attachment "A" which analyzes claim 22 of Applicants' WO 99/59629 against Protester's disclosure in WO 98/20903 to establish that Protester's - 8 -

D-850 international application has antecedent basis to fully meet all of the subject matter of claim 22. A copy of this protest has been served upon the Applicants at the address of their attorney stated on the cover page of WO 99/59629. The attorney listed is Joe Liebeschuetz, Townsend & Townsend, and Crew, LLP, 8th Floor, 2 Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, California 94111-3834. Respectfully submitted, The Firm of Karl F. Ross P.C. Jonathan Myers Reg. No. 26,963 Attorney for Applicant er 13 September 2001 5676 Riverdale Avenue Box 900 Bronx, NY 10471-0900 Cust. No.: 535 Tel: (718) 884-6600 Fax: (718) 601-1099 Enclosures: Attachment "A" WO 98/20903 WO 99/59629 Harold R. Brown III - Pages 77 - 107 - 9 -

from a verotoxin"

"The kidney damage and the neurological symptoms which are caused by one of 2 toxins is known as hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS)" Page 1, lines 26 to 29.

"The present invention is based, in one aspect, on the use of a therapeutic method to treat an individual suffering from hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) caused by a virulent strain at an Enterohemorragic E. Col. (EHEC)" Page 3, lines 23 to 26.

"All EHEC produce toxins known as Shiga like toxins." Page 2, lines 5 and 6.

"Shiga like toxins are also referred to as verotoxins" Page 2, lines 6 and 7.

"comprising administering
to the patient"

"an effective dosage of
a human or humanized
antibody"

"that specifically binds to verotoxic II or verotoxin II variant". "administering to an individual" Page 2, line 32.

"a therapeutically effective amount of monoclonal antibody" Page 2, lines 32 and 33.

"In one aspect of the present invention, human monoclonal and human mono-specific polyclonal antibodies are produced by using transgenic mice ..."
Page 7, line 35 to page 8, line 1.

"which binds specifically to either Shiga toxin, Shiga like toxin I or Shiga like toxin II." Page 2, lines 33 to 35.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

It is hereby certified that a copy of this THIRD PARTY PROTEST BY THE PUBLIC AGAINST A PENDING PATENT APPLICATION was served by first class mail, postage prepaid, upon Applicants' attorney Joe Liebeschuetz, Esq., Townsend & Townsend and Crew, LLP, 8th Floor, 2 Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, California 94111-3834 on this 13th day of September 2001.

Onathan Myers